

# A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW CHECKLIST OF STUDENT LEADERSHIP THIS YEAR

<b>SELECTION &amp; PREPARATION</b>	<b>Needs Review</b>	<b>Acceptable</b>	<b>Excellent</b>
Did the selection process run smoothly?	1	2	3
Do we feel (in hindsight) that we selected the right students?	1	2	3
Were students prepared for leadership prior to being selected?	1	2	3
Were our leaders effectively trained for their role after being selected?	1	2	3
<b>STRUCTURE</b>			
Did we have the right position titles in place for our school?	1	2	3
Did we have the right number of positions for our school?	1	2	3
Were there opportunities for students without positions to lead in some context?	1	2	3
Did student leaders have an effective plan in place that they were able to follow?	1	2	3
Was there enough staff support for student leaders?	1	2	3
<b>OPERATION</b>			
Did we clearly articulate the expectations of leaders at the beginning of the year?	1	2	3
Did we stick to the meeting frequency we intended?	1	2	3
Were leaders meetings well run and effective?	1	2	3
Is there evidence that student leaders fulfilled their duties with some autonomy (not always relying on staff)?	1	2	3
Did student leaders continually seek feedback and improve in their effectiveness throughout the year?	1	2	3
Did all the activities the leaders intended to undertake eventuate this year?	1	2	3
<b>LEGACY</b>			
Did this year's leaders have a concrete success story of some kind (such as an event or fundraiser)?	1	2	3
Would we say that this year's leaders were effective role models to younger students?	1	2	3
Were younger students aware of the role of the leaders to the extent that the standard is likely to increase next year?	1	2	3
Do we feel that we have helped our outgoing leaders develop leadership skills they can use in the future?	1	2	3

Add up the total of all numbers circled to give a total: \_\_\_\_\_

## SCORING KEY:

- 0-37: Varying degree of review is needed
- 38: Acceptable
- 39-57: Varying degree of excellence achieved